

WEIGHTED OVERDETERMINED LINEAR SYSTEMS

Béla Finta

"Petru Maior" University of Tg. Mureş, Romania e-mail: fintab@science.upm.ro

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the weighted overdetermined linear systems and to solve them in the sense of the least squares method

Keywords: overdetermined linear system, weighted overdetermined linear system, least squares method

1 Introduction

Let us consider the real matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i=\overline{1,m}}$, and $j = \overline{1,n}$

the real, transposed arrays $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^T \in$ \mathbb{R}^n and $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$, respectively. The linear system $A \cdot x = b$ is called overdetermined linear system, if m > n. Generally, the overdetermined linear system is incompatible, i.e. doesn't exist an array $x^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $A \cdot x^* = b$. It is well known to obtain the solution of the overdetermined linear system using the least squares method, see for example [1] and [2].

2 Main part

Let us consider the weight array p $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$, where $p_i > 0$ for every $i = \overline{1, m}$. We denote by $\sqrt{p} =$ $(\sqrt{p_1}, \sqrt{p_2}, \dots, \sqrt{p_m}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and for the vectors $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and y = $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we introduce the following product $x \otimes y = (x_1 \cdot y_1, x_2 \cdot y_2, \dots, x_m \cdot y_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Let us take the weighted matrix $A_p = (\sqrt{p_i} \cdot a_{ij})_{i=\overline{1,m}}$, i=1.nand the weighted vector $b_p = \sqrt{p} \otimes b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. So to the overdetermined linear system $A \cdot x = b$ we can attache the weighted overdetermined linear system $A_p \cdot x = b_p$. It is immediatly that these two linear systems are equivalent. This means that, generally, the weighted overdetermined linear system is incompatible, i.e. an array $x^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*)^T$ doesn't exist that $A_p \cdot x^* = b_p$. For this reason, instead of the classical solution x^* , we consider such array $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, \dots, \overline{x}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which the function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \ f(x) = \|A_p \cdot x - b_p\|_m^2$ takes the minimal value, where $\|\cdot\|_m$ means the Euclidean norm on the space \mathbb{R}^m . The array $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which minimizes the function f, it is accepted like the solution of the overdetermined linear system $A_p \cdot x = b_p$ in the sense of the least squares method. We can observe that $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and the minimal point $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ verifies the following system of equations with partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}(\overline{x}) = 0$ for every $k = \overline{1, n}$. We calculate the partial derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sqrt{p_i} \cdot a_{ij} x_j - \sqrt{p_i} \cdot b_i \right)$$
$$\cdot \sqrt{p_i} \cdot a_{ik} = 0,$$

and we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{p_i} \cdot a_{ik} \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sqrt{p_i} \cdot a_{ij} x_j - \sqrt{p_i} \cdot b_i \right) = 0$$

for every $k = \overline{1, m}$. This linear system has the matrix form $A_p^T \cdot (A_p \cdot x - b_p) = \theta_{\mathbb{R}^m}$. This is a Cramer's type linear system with n equations and n unknowns, so $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ will be the classical solution of this Cramer's linear system. Consequently the classical solution $\overline{x} \in$ \mathbb{R}^n of the linear system $(A_p^T \cdot A_p) \cdot x = A_p^T \cdot b_p$ it is accepted like solution of the weighted overdetermined linear system $A_p \cdot x = b_p$ in the sense of the least squares approach.

 $\in \mathbb{R}^n$ such

Next we show that the stationary point $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which verifies the relation $(A_p^T \cdot A_p) \cdot x = A_p^T \cdot b_p$ will be the minimal point of the function f.

Theorem 1. If A_p is a matrix of type $n \times m$, b_p is a column matrix of type $m \times 1$, and $\overline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the classical solution of the linear system $A_p^T \cdot (A_p \cdot x - b_p) = \theta_{\mathbb{R}^m}$, then for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we obtain $||b_p - A_p \cdot \overline{x}||_2 \le ||b_p - A_p \cdot y||_2$.

Proof. We denote the residual vectors with $r_{\overline{x}} = b_p - A_p \cdot \overline{x}$ and $r_y = b_p - A_p \cdot y$. Then we have $r_y = b_p - A_p \cdot y = b_p - A_p \cdot \overline{x} + A_p \cdot \overline{x} - A_p \cdot y = r_{\overline{x}} + A_p \cdot (\overline{x} - y)$. Now we use the well known formulas for the transposed matrices and we get: $r_y^T = (r_{\overline{x}} + A_p(\overline{x} - y))^T = r_{\overline{x}}^T + (\overline{x} - y)^T A_p^T$. Consequently taking the scalar product on the space \mathbb{R}^n we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} r_y^T \cdot r_y &= (r_{\overline{x}}^T + (\overline{x} - y)^T A_p^T) \cdot (r_{\overline{x}} + A_p(\overline{x} - y)) = \\ &= r_{\overline{x}}^T \cdot r_{\overline{x}} + (\overline{x} - y)^T \cdot A_p^T \cdot r_{\overline{x}} + \\ &+ r_{\overline{x}}^T \cdot A_p(\overline{x} - y) + (\overline{x} - y)^T A_p^T \cdot A_p(\overline{x} - y) \end{aligned}$$

But $A_p^T \cdot r_{\overline{x}} = \theta_{\mathbb{R}^m}$ and $r_{\overline{x}}^T A_p = (A_p^T r_{\overline{x}})^T = \theta_{\mathbb{R}^m}$. So we can deduce that $r_y^T \cdot r_y = r_{\overline{x}}^T \cdot r_{\overline{x}} + (\overline{x} - y)^T A_p^T \cdot A_p(\overline{x} - y)$. Using the definition of the Euclidean norm it is easy to deduce that $||r_y||_2^2 = r_y^T \cdot r_y$. Consequently $||r_y||_2^2 = ||r_{\overline{x}}||_2^2 + ||A_p(\overline{x} - y)||_2^2 \ge ||r_{\overline{x}}||_2^2$, what we must it to prove.

The linear system $(A_p^T \cdot A_p) \cdot x = A_p^T \cdot b_p$ can be solved by Cramer's rule from theory of determinants for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ small natural numbers, but in other cases, for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ great natural numbers we can use numerical methods of linear algebra.

Consequence 1. If we choose the weights $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_m = 1$, then from our theorem we reobtain the well known result for overdetermined linear systems.

Example 1. Let us consider the following overdeter- $\int r + u = 2$

mined linear system:
$$\begin{cases} x + y = 2\\ x + 2y = 3\\ 2x + y = 4 \end{cases}$$

If we solve the linear system $\begin{cases} x+y=2\\ x+2y=3 \end{cases}$ then

we receive the solution x = y = 1, which doesn't verify the last equation: $2x + y = 3 \neq 4$. We obtain the same conclusion if we calculate the characteristic determinant: $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \neq 0$. So our overdeter-

mined linear system is incompatible and does not have classical solution. We attache to this overdetermined linear system the following weighted overdetermined

linear system:

ar system:
$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{p_2} \cdot x + 2\sqrt{p_2} \cdot y = 3 \cdot \sqrt{p_2} \\ 2\sqrt{p_3} \cdot x + \sqrt{p_3} \cdot y = 4 \cdot \sqrt{p_3} \end{cases}$$

 $\int \sqrt{p_1} \cdot x + \sqrt{p_1} \cdot y = 2 \cdot \sqrt{p_1}$

We consider the function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, f(x, y) =$

 $p_{1} \cdot (x+y-2)^{2} + p_{2} \cdot (x+2y-3)^{2} + p_{3} \cdot (2x+y-4)^{2}$ and for the linear system $\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y) = 0\\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y) = 0 \end{cases}$ we obtain: $\begin{cases} (p_{1}+p_{2}+4p_{3}) \cdot x + (p_{1}+2p_{2}+2p_{3}) \cdot y = 2p_{1}+3p_{2}+8p_{3}\\ (p_{1}+2p_{2}+2p_{3}) \cdot x + (p_{1}+4p_{2}+p_{3}) \cdot y = 2p_{1}+6p_{2}+4p_{3}. \end{cases}$ In another way we get m = 3, n = 2, $A_{p} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p_{1}} & \sqrt{p_{1}}\\ \sqrt{p_{2}} & 2 \cdot \sqrt{p_{2}}\\ 2 \cdot \sqrt{p_{3}} & \sqrt{p_{3}} \end{pmatrix}, b_{p} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cdot \sqrt{p_{1}}\\ 3 \cdot \sqrt{p_{2}}\\ 4 \cdot \sqrt{p_{3}} \end{pmatrix},$ so $A_{p}^{T} \cdot A_{p} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{1}+p_{2}+4p_{3} & p_{1}+2p_{2}+2p_{3}\\ p_{1}+2p_{2}+2p_{3} & p_{1}+4p_{2}+p_{3} \end{pmatrix}$ and $A_{p}^{T} \cdot b_{p} = \begin{pmatrix} 2p_{1}+3p_{2}+8p_{3}\\ 2p_{1}+6p_{2}+4p_{3} \end{pmatrix}$. Hence our system $A_{p}^{T} \cdot A_{p} \cdot x = A_{p}^{T} \cdot b_{p}$ is the same: $\begin{pmatrix} p_{1}+p_{2}+4p_{3} & p_{1}+2p_{2}+2p_{3}\\ p_{1}+2p_{2}+2p_{3} & p_{1}+4p_{2}+p_{3} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x\\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2p_{1}+3p_{2}+8p_{3}\\ 2p_{1}+6p_{2}+4p_{3} \end{pmatrix}$. We denote

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} p_1 + p_2 + 4p_3 & p_1 + 2p_2 + 2p_3 \\ p_1 + 2p_2 + 2p_3 & p_1 + 4p_2 + p_3 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Delta_x = \begin{vmatrix} 2p_1 + 3p_2 + 8p_3 & p_1 + 2p_2 + 2p_3 \\ 2p_1 + 6p_2 + 4p_3 & p_1 + 4p_2 + p_3 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Delta_y = \begin{vmatrix} p_1 + p_2 + 4p_3 & 2p_1 + 3p_2 + 8p_3 \\ p_1 + 2p_2 + 2p_3 & 2p_1 + 6p_2 + 4p_3 \end{vmatrix},$$

We have the classical solution $x = \frac{\Delta_x}{\Delta}$ and $y = \frac{\Delta_y}{\Delta}$. So our weighted overdetermined linear system admits the solution $x = \frac{\Delta_x}{\Delta}$ and $y = \frac{\Delta_y}{\Delta}$ in the sense of the least squares approach. For the particular case $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 1$ we obtain $x = \frac{18}{11}$ and $y = \frac{7}{11}$, which is the solution of the initial overdetermined linear system in the sense of the least squares method.

3 Conclusions

In this paper we extended the least squares method from overdetermined linear systems to weighted overdetermined linear systems.

References

- B. Finta, Analiză numerică, Editura Universității "Petru Maior", Tg. Mureş, 2004.
- [2] S. S. Rao, Applied Numerical Methods for Engineers and Scientists, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, 2002.